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Abstract

This recommendation document follows the mission of the World Association of Perinatal Medicine (WAPM) in collaboration with the Perinatal Medicine Foundation (PMF). We aim to bring together groups and individuals throughout the world for precise standardization to implement the ultrasound evaluation of the fetus in the first trimester of pregnancy and improve the early detection of anomalies and the clinical management of the pregnancy. The aim is to present a document that includes statements and recommendations on the standard evaluation of the fetal anatomy in the first trimester, based on quality evidence in the peer-reviewed literature as well as the experience of perinatal experts around the world.
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**Introduction**

From aneuploidies screening to first trimester fetal anatomy

The window of 11+0–13+6 weeks of gestation provides a great opportunity to evaluate the accurate dating and the risk of fetal aneuploidy. Although it gives us an excellent opportunity to look for basic anatomical landmarks at early ages, the major focus of the 11+0 to 13+6 weeks scan has been on aneuploidy screening.\[1\]

First trimester combined screening has been proposed and established in different countries as an accurate and reproducible method to select a population of fetuses at high risk for chromosomal abnormalities.\[2,3\]

Such screening is based on the combination of several parameters, including the nuchal translucency (NT) obtained by a targeted ultrasound scan performed at 11+0 to 13+6 weeks.\[1,3\] The NT measurement reproducibility relies on a strict methodology and a well-defined certification and auditing system. The number of certified operators has been increasing in the last few years, witnessing a significant diffusion of the first-trimester scan worldwide. The consequent improvement of operators’ skills in first-trimester ultrasound, the increased knowledge of early fetal anatomy, the association between increased NT and fetal structural abnormalities,\[4–8\] and the improving ultrasound technology allowing higher image resolution (software and hardware implementations, availability of the transvaginal probes), have led to increased detection of fetal structural abnormalities already in the first trimester.\[5,16\]

A recent systematic review\[18\] has shown an estimated detection rate of fetal structural abnormalities in the first trimester, ranging between 32% and 61%, according to the type of anomalies and population characteristics. In particular, the detection rate seems higher when focusing on major anomalies than all types of anomalies (about 46% vs. 32% detection rate), and even higher when scanning a high-risk rather than an unselected population of pregnant women (61% detection rate of structural anomalies). Such figures seem to be widely variable in the literature according to different factors, such as operator skills (experience, training, knowledge of fetal embryology or use of indirect ultrasound markers of anomalies),\[5,15,16,18–44\] gestational age at the time of examination,\[28\] route of ultrasound (transvaginal [TV] or transabdominal [TA]),\[21,23,28,31\] or time allocated for the scan.\[16,22,24,27,28,31\]

However, most of the studies show exceedingly higher detection rates for specific fetal organ system anomalies, such as major brain structural defects (acrania, alobar holoprosencephaly, cephalocele), major anterior wall defects (exomphalos, gastroschisis), and pathological bladder dilation (megacystis), leading to the definition of such anomalies as “always detectable” already in the first trimester.\[15,36\] The increasing detection rates of fetal structural abnormalities in the first trimester, with few of them considered almost always detectable, together with the establishment in different settings of a first-trimester routine ultrasound evaluation due to the diffusion of the aneuploidy screening, seems to justify the implementation of a fetal anatomical ultrasound survey at such gestational age.\[12\]

**Implementing the first trimester ultrasound evaluation of fetal anatomy**

The systematic review from Karim et al. has shown a significant improvement of detection rates for fetal structural abnormalities when scanning high-risk fetuses and using an anatomical protocol with standard sonographic views.\[18\]

Several ultrasound findings have been described as potential markers of fetal structural abnormalities. For example, an increased fetal NT is associated with fetal aneuploidies or genetic syndromes and structural abnormalities, reported in about 10% of cases with NT>99th percentile.\[4\] Moreover, increased NT and fetal tricuspid regurgitation and ductus venosus flow abnormalities have been associated with fetal major structural cardiac defects.\[8,33\]

Recently, the ultrasound appearance of cranial posterior fossa (CPF) structures has been described as three anechoic spaces just above the occipital bone, in the same midsagittal view obtained to measure the fetal NT. An abnormal arrangement of such spaces (visualization of only two spaces rather than three or abnormal ratio between the width of the anterior space and the two posterior ones) is predictive of open spina bifida, or cystic abnormalities of posterior fossa.\[5,34–44\]

Therefore, such findings could be considered ultrasound markers of fetal structural abnormalities, allowing the selection of a high-risk population of fetuses deserving a thorough ultrasound evaluation.
Adopting an anatomical protocol with standard sonographic views also seems associated with higher detection rates for fetal structural anomalies,\(^{18}\) even if different protocols have been described in the literature.\(^{5,15,16,45–48}\) In 2013, a comprehensive first-trimester anatomic protocol has been proposed\(^{48}\) combining the data from four different studies,\(^{49–52}\) suggesting a list of fetal structures to be evaluated in the first trimester, and briefly describing their normal appearance, with only a few details about the methodology to obtain an adequate ultrasound evaluation. Moreover, the same guideline remarked that the second-trimester scan remains the standard of care for fetal anatomical evaluation. However, as mentioned, the detection rate of fetal structural anomalies in the first trimester has been increasing in the last few years, together with the advances of ultrasound technology and image resolution, with few fetal defects almost always detectable before 14 weeks. Therefore, the time has probably come to offer a standardized evaluation of the fetal anatomy in the first trimester, rather than limiting the assessment to ultrasound markers, as establishing normal fetal anatomy should be one of the aims of pregnancy care.

The detection of a fetal structural anomaly or an abnormality on the ultrasound views provided as standard anatomical protocol should prompt the referral for a detailed evaluation of the fetal anatomy. The diagnostic anatomical survey should include additional views and a more detailed assessment of the fetal structures, performed by perinatal expert, in optimal conditions (adequate ultrasound machine, time allocated to the examination, route of examination). A referral center would represent the ideal setting for a thorough evaluation for the diagnosis and management of fetal structural abnormalities, including further genetic testing or imaging, appropriate multidisciplinary counseling and possible treatment.

The concept of anatomical evaluation for diagnosis in referral centers

One of the first published first trimester anatomic protocols\(^{48}\) included structures suggested for routine ultrasound evaluation and some optional ones (face features, four chambers of the heart, bladder, kidneys, hands and feet, and three-vessel cord), therefore creating at least two levels of the anatomic survey: a basic, including the evaluation of suggested structures and a more detailed one, including also optional structures. However, the prerequisites of the two approaches have not been described.

The concept of different levels of anatomic evaluation includes different aspects:

- Advanced vs. basic training (what you are trained to do), involving the type of training, the corresponding certification of trainees, and the expertise by accumulating experience.
- Routine vs. expanded anatomic protocol (what you are expected to do) is based not only on the difficulty and time required to obtain specific views of the anatomic structures but also on the possibility of detecting the corresponding abnormalities in the first trimester.

Different protocols comprising a more or less extensive assessment of fetal anatomy have been proposed over the last years.\(^{5,15,16,45–48}\) As mentioned, the choice of the structures and views to include is not necessarily related to the level of the sonographer’s expertise but could also be based on cost-effectiveness studies or other considerations. To be more precise, an examiner may be capable of performing a detailed anatomic evaluation. Still, the protocol may not require a thorough examination for reasons such as limited time for the ultrasound evaluation or as a part of a national strategy based on cost-effectiveness studies or studies on maternal anxiety. In general, the aim of a routine ultrasound anatomical evaluation should be to establish normal fetal anatomy, whereas a referral center is expected to provide diagnostic definition of fetal structural anomalies, such as further testing (if required) and management.

According to the American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine (AIUM), the specialized diagnostic examination is an extension of the standard sonographic fetal assessment described in the AIUM-ACRACOG-SMFM-SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance of Standard Diagnostic Obstetric Ultrasound Examinations and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologist practice bulletin ‘Ultrasound in Pregnancy’.\(^{53}\) The detailed obstetric ultrasound examination in the late first trimester is an indication-driven examination for women
at increased risk of fetal abnormalities that are potentially detectable at such gestational age. In particular, a targeted early echocardiography could be performed for fetuses at high risk for congenital heart defects (maternal history, ultrasound markers of cardiac anomaly, suspected defect at the routine scan).\[^{14-56}\] Similarly, indirect ultrasound signs of central nervous system anomalies or suspected defects at the routine anatomic survey could be the indication for a targeted early neurosonogram.\[^{52,57,58}\] Performance and interpretation of diagnostic examinations require adequate training, knowledge, imaging skills, and the ability to communicate the findings to the patient and referring physician effectively and appropriately. Thus, the performance of a detailed, advanced first-trimester ultrasound examination should be rare outside referral practices with special expertise in identifying and diagnosing fetal anomalies in the first trimester. In addition, genetic counseling and diagnostic testing services should be available for patients diagnosed with fetal abnormalities in early weeks of gestation.

Advantages and limitations of an early anatomy evaluation

The early detection of fetal anomalies yields significant advantages for the perspective of parents and the clinical management of the pregnancy. In particular, when further investigations are required, an early detection of the defect allows longer times for genetic analysis, more detailed imaging, earlier detection of associated anomalies, or fetal treatment planning. In addition, if the parents opt for termination of pregnancy, an early procedure is usually safer, less traumatic, and allows more privacy to the patient. Moreover, in case of high-risk pregnancy due to structural anomaly of a previous fetus or child, when an early anatomic survey is possible, the absence of fetal abnormalities in the first trimester is reassuring, reducing maternal anxiety.

However, certain limitations of an early ultrasound survey of the fetal anatomy need to be acknowledged. These include the small size of anatomical structures due to early gestational age\[^{56-51}\] and the normal appearance of structures affected by some defects, showing abnormal anatomy only later in pregnancy (evolutive or late-onset defects).\[^{5,16,21-28,30}\] When dealing with such small structures, increased maternal body mass index, uterine fibroids or a shadowing abdominal scar have an even greater impact on the quality of the images than would be expected in the second trimester. In case of maternal obesity, or in patients with previous abdominal surgeries (e.g. abdominoplasty, cesarean section, etc.) the abdominal wall tissue could significantly limit ultrasound transmission, with poor visualization of the fetus, often forcing the operator to switch to the transvaginal route, with better image resolution, but limited probe maneuverability.

A possible concern of the early detection of major abnormalities could be the occurrence of a false positive diagnosis. An abnormal finding is usually associated to an increased maternal anxiety, often needing additional ultrasound assessment, genetic testing, dedicated counseling, with additional clinical, economical and psychological burden. A false positive finding could therefore lead to unnecessary clinical efforts, invasive testing, or even termination of pregnancy, in the worst-case scenario. The true occurrence of false positive cases is not well known due to the scarcity of specific data in the literature. However, low rates of false positive diagnosis are reported by a big trial involving more than 39,000 pregnancies,\[^{25}\] with general incidence of false positives <0.5%, but much lower in the first trimester than in the second trimester ultrasound. Such numbers could vary according to the definition of structural abnormality, in particular when considering isolated evolving anomalies with spontaneous resolution in prenatal life (e.g. mild megacystis, small bowel-only exomphalos, etc.).

Scope

The scope of the first-trimester anatomic survey is expanding with advancing technology and expertise. The evaluation of fetal anatomy, including fetal heart and central nervous system, has evolved drastically in the past decade.\[^{18,42,54}\] This is a continuous process that needs updating of the protocols as new data emerge (Table 1).

The scope of this document is to propose a new model of standardized approach to the evaluation of fetal anatomy in the first trimester of pregnancy, reached by a consensus of experts, in routine obstetric care in low-risk pregnancies at 11–13 weeks of gestation to improve the prenatal detection of severe anomalies.
Table 1. Summary of the structures recommended or suggested as part of the routine evaluation of the fetal anatomy in the first trimester, including the key features to check and the main anomalies potentially associated in case of abnormal features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>R/S</th>
<th>Suggested plane(s)</th>
<th>Key features</th>
<th>Possible anomalies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head and brain</td>
<td>Skull</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Oval uninterrupted shape, uniformly hyperechoic</td>
<td>Acrania, cephalocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Midline falx</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Uninterrupted</td>
<td>Holoprosencephaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lateral ventricles/CP</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Symmetric, filled by CP</td>
<td>Ventrilomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cranial posterior fossa</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sag</td>
<td>Three similar anechoic spaces</td>
<td>Chiari malformation, cystic anomalies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck</td>
<td>Nuchal translucency</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sag</td>
<td>Thickness &lt;95th centile</td>
<td>Marker for anomalies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine</td>
<td>Vertebrae</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sag, Co</td>
<td>Uninterrupted vertebral line</td>
<td>Open spina bifida, kyphoscoliosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal skin</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sag</td>
<td>Uninterrupted skin</td>
<td>Myelomeningocele</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Face</td>
<td>Profile</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Sag</td>
<td>No flat, no abnormal protrusions, regular chin</td>
<td>Micrognathia, flat face</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orbits</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Ax, Co</td>
<td>Anechoic symmetric orbits</td>
<td>An/microphthalmia, hypotelorism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior palate</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Co, Ax, Sag</td>
<td>Uninterrupted bone</td>
<td>Cleft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorax</td>
<td>Lung fields</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Homogeneous structure, shape continuity with abdomen</td>
<td>Pleural effusion, diaphragmatic hernia, lung agenesis, CHAOS, severe skeletal dysplasias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Heart activity</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Regular, 150–170 bpm</td>
<td>Bradycardia, arrhythmias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cardiac situs</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Apex pointing left, left-sided stomach</td>
<td>Isomerism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Size and position</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Occupies 1/3 of the chest, lies on the midline (2/3 of heart on its left)</td>
<td>Diaphragmatic hernia, hypoplastic R/Lt heart, ectopia cordis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 chambers</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Four balanced chambers (consider Doppler)</td>
<td>Hypoplastic R/Lt heart, valvar stenosis/atrias, AV septal defect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 vessels/arches</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>V-sign, balanced arches, Doppler suggested</td>
<td>Cono-trunacal anomalies, valvar stenosis/atrias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIT and abdominal wall</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Round-shaped, anechoic, left-sided</td>
<td>Diaphragmatic hernia, esophageal or duodenal atresia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cord insertion</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax, Sag</td>
<td>No bowel protrusion</td>
<td>Exomphalos, gastrochisis, body stalk anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract and genitalia</td>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax, Sag</td>
<td>Round-shaped, anechoic, diameter &lt;7 mm</td>
<td>Bladder extrophy, bilateral renal agenesis, megacystis, LUTO, cloacal anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Umbilical arteries</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax</td>
<td>Two arteries on bladder sides (Doppler)</td>
<td>Single umbilical artery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidneys</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Ax, Co</td>
<td>Two symmetric kidneys, homogeneous structure, upper abdomen</td>
<td>Renal agenesis, pelvichorseshoe kidney, cystichyperechoic kidneys, hydronephrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genital tubercle</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sag</td>
<td>Flat shape for female, upwards position for males</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbs</td>
<td>Active movements</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Flexion/extension</td>
<td>Neuromuscular anomalies, FADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three segments</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax, Sag</td>
<td>Bones present, regular proportions</td>
<td>Limb reduction defects, skeletal dysplasias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hands/feet</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>Ax, Sag</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Limb reduction defects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ax: axial; AV: atrio-ventricular; bpm: beats per minute; CHAOS: congenital high airways obstruction syndrome; Co: coronal; CP: choroid plexus; FADS: fetal akinesia deformation sequence; GIT: gastro-intestinal tract; Lt: left; LUTO: lower urinary tract obstruction; R: recommended; Rt: right; S: suggested; Sag: sagittal.
Technical issues

Preferred time of evaluation

- The first-trimester screening for chromosomal abnormalities has been developed for fetuses with the crown-rump length (CRL) between 45 mm and 84 mm (11+0 to 13+6 weeks). Fetal growth and development at this stage of pregnancy are rapid and differences in fetal anatomy during these three weeks are significant. As described, many fetal structural abnormalities are already detectable during the ultrasound examination at 11+0 to 13+6 weeks of gestation. Therefore, the optimal timing for this scan, for both the technically appropriate measurement of nuchal translucency and maximum detection of anomalies, was suggested to be 13 weeks of pregnancy, also based on the need for the second scan and number of unsuccessful scans due to non-viable pregnancy. However, in the subsequent years, technical advances of ultrasound machines and the implementation of perinatal specialist’s expertise improved the visualization of anatomical structures showing similar visualization rates at 12 and 13 weeks of gestation. Moreover, the closer to the end of the first trimester the scan is performed, the lower is the probability of finding the fetus in a supine neutral position, optimal for NT measurement. Therefore, some data suggest an optimum time for nuchal translucency measurement and anatomic evaluation at 12–13 weeks.

Ultrasound transducers (TA and TV, frequency)

- High-frequency ultrasound transducers increase the spatial resolution but decrease the penetration of the beam. The selection of the optimal transducer and their frequency depends on gestational age, maternal body habitus, the position of the fetus, and the scanning approach used. Transabdominal transducers with 3–5 MHz are mainly used; however, while they “penetrate” deeper, their resolution is lower than high frequency probes such as 4–8 MHz and those of the transvaginal probe, which are often closer to the fetus and operate at higher frequencies, increasing images resolution.

- The examination is usually performed with grayscale 2D ultrasound. It may be essential to mention that harmonic and speckle-reduction filters may enhance image quality, mainly in patients with increased body mass index or abdominal scars.

- The use of transvaginal probes should always be considered if the fetus is in suboptimal position, or in case of low transabdominal images quality. In such cases a transvaginal approach could be offered and performed if the patient agrees.

Methods

With the scope of reaching a consensus among experts, a survey was conducted among group members.

The main fetal structures that could be included in an anatomical ultrasound survey between 11+0 and 13+6 weeks were listed and group members were asked to answer the following questions:

- Should the following anatomical structures be always evaluated, possibly, or never at the time of the first-trimester anatomy scan?
- Do you suggest one or more planes?

Agreement among members was evaluated for each anatomical structure and scanning plane.

The evaluation of structures and planes that should always be evaluated with an agreement among members exceeding 75% are referred to in this document as “recommended” as part of the first-trimester standard ultrasound examination of the fetal anatomy.

The evaluation of structures and planes that should be possibly evaluated with an agreement among members exceeding 75% are referred to in this document as “suggested” as part of the first-trimester standard ultrasound examination of the fetal anatomy.

The evaluation of structures and planes that should never be evaluated with an agreement among members exceeding 75% are considered in this document as not being part of the first-trimester standard ultrasound examination of the fetal anatomy.

The same method was applied for the quantitative assessment. The main fetal anatomical structures reported in the literature as measurable were listed. Group members were asked if such anatomical structures should always be measured, possibly or never, and on which planes. According to the level of agreement among members, each measurement is referred to as recommended, suggested, or excluded, following the same criteria for the qualitative anatomical assessment.

The members were asked to vote again after collegial discussion until consensus was obtained if no agreement was reached.

First Trimester Examination of the Fetal Anatomy in Routine Practice

Head and brain

Under normal conditions, the fetal skull appears as an oval-shaped hypechoic bony structure. The two hemispheres, similar in size, are separated by a straight, uninterrupted midline echo (interhemispheric fissure) on the axial planes. The choroid plexuses should fill the two lat-
eral ventricles on the sides of the midline (butterfly sign on axial view)\(^6\) occupying roughly half or more of the ventricle length/area\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^6\) (Fig. 1). On the midsagittal view, the anechoic round-shaped diencephalon is visible in the middle of the fetal brain, and the cranial posterior fossa (CPF) structures are just posterior to it, including the brainstem (BS), the 4th ventricle (4V), and the cisterna magna (CM), appearing as three anechoic spaces, roughly similar in size (Fig. 2). The biparietal diameter (BPD) could be measured on the axial view in selected cases, mainly for dating purposes.

### Recommendations

- Skull and head shape, midline echo, and brain hemispheres, including lateral ventricles and choroid plexuses, should always be evaluated at the routine first-trimester examination. These structures should be preferably assessed on axial planes.
- The measurements of the biparietal diameter and head circumference are not recommended on a routine basis but could be helpful.
- The cranial posterior fossa should be evaluated routinely on the midsagittal plane, showing three distinguished anechoic spaces similar in size. The measurement of the ratio between the width of the brainstem and the space behind it (BS/BSOB)\(^36\) is not recommended on a routine basis but could be helpful when the three spaces seem abnormal.
- Doppler studies should not be included in the standard evaluation of the fetal brain in the first trimester.

### Technical issues

- The evaluation of the fetal structures requires adequate magnification: the fetal anatomical area, including the target structure, should occupy about 75% of the ultrasound image.
- The axial view of the fetal brain should be obtained with the ultrasound beam perpendicular to the interhemispheric fissure, appearing as the midline echo, to evaluate its integrity adequately. In addition, the brain hemispheres should be equal in size, witnessing a proper axial rather than oblique approach, and the plane required for the routine evaluation of the fetal anatomy should be just above the thalami and midbrain to adequately visualize the choroid plexuses and ventricles from frontal to occipital horns.
- An anterior approach could obtain the midsagittal view of the fetal head (Fig. 2), with the ultrasound beam encountering the fetal face before reaching the intracranial structures. To be correctly midsagittal, the fetal profile should be visible, including forehead, nose (bone, overlying skin, and tip), rectangular-shaped palate, diencephalon, and anechoic structures in posterior fossa (BS, 4V and CM). In addition, on a proper midsagittal plane, the bony process above the palate (zygomatic process of the maxilla) should not be visible. The nuchal translucency should be measured on this plane when the ultrasound beam is perpendicular to its lines.

### Neck

Under normal conditions, a thin subcutaneous collection of fluid should be visible at the level of the fetal neck NT. No lateral cysts, septa or abnormally thick NT should be visualized.
Recommendation

- The NT should be routinely measured on the midsagittal plane during the first-trimester evaluation.

Technical issues

- The methodology to obtain a correct midsagittal view of the fetal head has been described. On this plane, the fetus should be resting, with the neck neither flexed nor extended, such that the nuchal profile should not appear bent but generally flat, and the fetal chin should not be touching the chest.
- The NT could be visualized behind the fetal neck as an anechoic fluid space (Fig. 2).
- The lines of the NT are adequately visualized if the ultrasound beam is perpendicular and the gain is set low, enough to see the edges of the lines as sharp rather than fuzzy.
- The measurement calipers should be placed on the inner borders of the lines, with the crossbar touching their edges, but within the white line rather than in the black translucency ("on-to-on" rather than "in-to-in").
- The NT width should be measured at its thickest part, and the widest of three measurements should be chosen.

Spine

The fetal spine typically appears as a linear structure, composed of a continuous sequence of vertebrae, covered by the uninterrupted skin (Fig. 3). The spine could bend according to the fetal movements, but no disruptions or interruptions of the vertebral lines or overlying skin should be visualized in normal conditions.

Face

The fetal profile is usually visualized on the midsagittal view of the fetus, showing fetal forehead, nose, lips, and chin (Fig. 2). The profile should neither be flat nor show any protruding structures. The nasal bone should be visible and brighter than the overlying skin. Two anechoic orbits are usually visible on axial view, similar in size, with an oval lens within each orbit, close to its anterior surface.

Fig. 3. Midsagittal (a) and coronal (b) views of the fetal spine. The vertebral lines, composed by the sequence of their ossification centers, are visible in both views, but the covering skin is visible only on the midsagittal one.
Fetal bony palate and upper lip could also be evaluated, showing no clefts or disruptions (Fig. 5).

**Recommendations**

- The fetal profile should be routinely evaluated in the first trimester, on the midsagittal view of the fetal head.
- The evaluation of fetal eye orbits and bony palate is not recommended on a routine basis but suggested during the first trimester fetal anatomic survey.
- The evaluation of the upper lip is not routinely recommended in the first trimester.

**Technical issues**

- The technical suggestions to obtain a proper midsagittal view of the fetal head have been already described for the brain evaluation. On such view, the profile is adequately visible.
- The eye orbits can be ideally evaluated on an axial frontal view, easily obtained by a 90° rotation of the probe starting from the profile (midsagittal) view.
- The bony palate could be visualized as a retronasal triangle on a coronal plane, simply tilting the probe from the axial view of the orbits. Alternatively, it could be visualized on an axial view, including also the upper lip (Fig. 5), by an axial sweep following the orbits view.

**Thorax**

Under normal conditions, two lung fields should be visualized on both sides of the fetal heart (Fig. 6), showing the homogeneous structure. The ribcage is formed by symmetric ribs on the two sides, with a convex uninterrupted muscular floor (the diaphragm).

**Recommendations**

- The lung fields should be routinely evaluated on axial planes during the first trimester evaluation.
- The diaphragm and rib cage evaluation are not routinely recommended.

**Heart**

The fetal heart lies in the middle of the fetal chest, occupying about one third of it, with the apex pointing...
towards the left side and with two thirds of its area on the
left of the thoracic midline. The four chambers should be
balanced in size, with the ventricles mildly bigger than
the atria (Fig. 6). The great arteries are similar in size but
showing opposite direction (crossover of the outflow
tracts). The aorta arises from the left ventricle and points
to the right and the pulmonary artery from the right ven-
tricle but with an anteroposterior direction, roughly par-
allel to the midline. The atrial and ductal arches could be
visualized just above the outflow tracts joining just before
and to the left of the fetal spine (Fig. 7). At the same
level, the superior vena cava could be possibly visualized
in the context of a “3-vessel and trachea” view.

### Recommendations

- Heartbeat, heart rate, cardiac situs, size, and position
  should be routinely evaluated in the first trimester.
- Evaluation of the four chambers view is recommended but
  limited to the visualization of four distinct chambers, look-
ing balanced. Color Doppler could be useful to implement
  the visualization and the assessment of this view.
- The visualization of the 3-vessel or arches view (V-sign) on
  the axial plane by color Doppler is suggested but not
  mandatory in the first trimester.
- The evaluation of the superior and inferior vena cava is
  not routinely recommended in the first trimester.
- The evaluation of the ductus venosus and the measurement
  of its blood flow velocity should not be considered as part of
  the routine anatomic survey but included only for aneuplo-
dies screening if established by the local screening strategy.

### Technical issues

- To avoid oblique planes, the fetal heart should be
  observed on an axial view of the thorax, ideally including
  no more than one rib on each fetal side. The ultrasonic
  beam should be as parallel as possible to the ventricular
  septum (apical view) to optimize the visualization of the
  atrioventricular (AV) valves, allowing a better distinction
  of the four cardiac chambers. However, a transverse view
  of the heart could also be considered, with the ultra-
sound beam parallel to the ventricular and atrial septum,
  allowing better visualization of these structures, but often
  with a suboptimal visualization of the AV valves and a less
  clear distinction of the chambers. On an apical view, the
  heart rate could be calculated after sampling one of the
  AV valves, with a gate width of about 3 mm, and
  insonation angle ideally less than 30° from the ventricu-
lar septum.
- The color Doppler could be helpful in case of limited visu-
alization of the structures due to fetal or uterine unfavor-
able position, high maternal body mass index, or early
gestational age. In addition, the Doppler signal allows
  distinguishing the atrioventricular flow through the
  mitral and tricuspid valves, providing information about
  the presence of balanced atria and ventricles, if the flow
  signals are similar in size, direction, and velocity, exclud-
ing significant valve regurgitation, critical stenosis or
  atresia.
- The plane, including the arches, could be obtained start-
ing from the four chambers apical view, sweeping the
  probe cranially. Such structures could be highlighted by
  color Doppler, which would appear similar in size and
  color in normal conditions.
- Doppler settings should be adequate to depict the blood
  flow through the valves and vessels without blurring.

![Fig. 6. Grayscale image of the fetal heart and thorax. In a the lung fields are visible on the sides of the heart, showing four balanced chambers, two atria (a) and two ventricles (v). The atrioventricular flows (arrows) are highlighted by color Doppler on a four-chamber view in b.](image-url)
Gastro-Intestinal tract (GIT) / Abdominal wall

Under normal conditions, the abdominal wall has an uninterrupted profile, except for the umbilical cord insertion. The cord vessels (one vein and two arteries) enter the abdomen surrounded only by Wharton’s jelly (Fig. 8). No protrusion of the fetal bowel should be visible at this level. The stomach is a round-shaped anechoic structure, on the left side of the fetus, in the upper part of the abdomen (Fig. 9). No bowel dilation, other cystic structures, or masses should be visible intraabdominally.

**Recommendations**

- Stomach and abdominal cord insertion should be routinely evaluated during the first-trimester scan, preferably on axial planes.
- The abdominal circumference measurement, including the visualization of the umbilical vein, is not recommended as part of the standard anatomic survey.
- The evaluation of the bowel is not recommended in routine evaluation. Still, if any images suggesting dilation of the bowel, other cystic structures, or masses are noticed, the patient should be referred for advanced evaluation.

**Technical issues**

- Axial or sagittal views could evaluate the cord insertion. Such structure could be better visualized on the axial plane if the fetus lies on its side, allowing a perpendicular insonation of the cord entering the fetal abdomen.
- The cord bending just close to the abdominal insertion could resemble some small bowel herniation, erroneously leading to the suspicion of exomphalos. Color Doppler could be used to rule out such anomalies, distinguishing cord folds (color-filled) from actual bowel.
Urinary tract and genitalia

The bladder appears as an anechoic structure in the middle of the fetal pelvis, surrounded by the two umbilical arteries on both sides on axial view (Fig. 10). The kidneys are visible on both sides of the spine, just anteriorly, symmetric in size and showing homogeneous structure (Fig. 11). In the first trimester, the fetal genitalia have a significantly different appearance than in the second trimester, showing a flat genital tubercle in most of female fetuses. In contrast, it appears to point upwards in male fetuses (Fig. 12).

Recommendations

- The bladder should be routinely evaluated during the first-trimester anatomy survey, either on axial or sagittal planes, and measured only if appearing larger than usual on midsagittal view.
- Peri-vesical/umbilical arteries should also be evaluated, with the support of color Doppler, on the axial plane.
- The visualization of the kidneys is not recommended on a routine basis but suggested during the first-trimester anatomic survey. Kidneys could be preferably evaluated on axial or coronal planes.
- The visualization of the genital tubercle is not recommended on a routine basis, but suggested on a midsagittal view if feasible.

Technical issues

- The fetal bladder could be empty and therefore not visible during the scan. It should be considered an abnormal finding if still not visible at reevaluation after at least 20 minutes.
- In the first trimester, renal function impairment or agenesis could coexist with normal amniotic fluid surrounding the fetus.
- A dilated bladder could be defined if having the largest diameter is >10% of the fetal CRL. Severe megacystis is usually defined when bladder diameter is >15 mm in the first trimester.[68]

Limbs

Under normal conditions, the three segments of each limb could be visualized: thigh, leg, and foot in both lower limbs; arm, forearm, and hand in both upper limbs (Fig. 13). Long bones should look straight, with regular proportions. The position of the three segments, in particular hands and feet, should also be evaluated, and an excessive rotation or bending should be excluded. In favorable conditions, dedicated views of the open hands and the foot soles could show normal fingers and toes. Spontaneous active fetal movements should also be noted.

Recommendations

- The presence of the three segments of the limbs, including hands and feet, should be routinely assessed.
- Active fetal movements should also be visualized and reported routinely.
- The evaluation of the fingers and toes is not recommended as part of the standard anatomic survey.
- The measurement of the femur and humerus is not recommended in the first trimester.
Conclusion

The World Association of Perinatal Medicine (WAPM) and the Perinatal Medicine Foundation (PMF) study group on the first trimester anatomy produced this recommendation document identifying those fetal structures to be included in a routine ultrasound anatomic survey at 11+0 to 13+6 weeks of gestation (Table 1). This document also provides technical hints to facilitate the adequate evaluation of fetal anatomy and standardize images acquisition and interpretation. As mentioned, the availability of such methodological protocol is expected to improve the operators’ ability to identify fetuses at risk or suspected for fetal anomalies, optimize the referral process, and implement the detection of fetal structural abnormalities in the first trimester.
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